# ECOTOXIC EFFECTS OF HYDROXAMIC ACID ALLELOCHEMICALS AND SELECTED DEGRADATION PRODUCTS ON AQUATIC ORGANISMS

#### Fritz J., Bauer M., Pruckner M. Braun R.

Universität für Bodenkultur Wien Department IFA-Tulln Konrad Lorenz Str. 20 A-3430 Tulln, Austria

### **INTRODUCTION**

Allelochemicals are exuded by many plant roots under natural conditions. Those substances will cause an effect to other organisms living in the soil nearby and will be degraded afterwards. The type of effect could be manifold, but often it will suppress pathogens or competitors of the producer. Nevertheless, neither accumulation nor a drift over far distances is to await (Korte, 1992). If such allelochemicals (or any similar substances) are identified, isolated or produced and applied in bigger amounts as a natural pest control, a drift or transport into so called 'non target' environments may occur (Crossland et.al., 1982). By application on top of the soil, in difference to the natural appearance in the rhizosphere, other organisms may be affected. However, if allelochemicals are intended to be used as pesticide replacement, ecotoxicity data have to be generated for quality assurance and consumer protection reasons. It was part of the EU research project FATEALLCHEM (details see below) to characterise allelochemicals for their effects against target as well as against non target organisms. Results of the project part dealing with ecotoxicity of allelochemicals against aquatic organisms are presented and discussed in the lecture.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The investigated 10 substances were three former identified parent hydroxamic acid allelochemicals, namely Benzoxazolin-2(3H)-one (BOA), 6-Methoxy-benzoxazolin-2(3H)-one (MBOA), 2,4-Dihydroxy-7-methoxy-2H-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one (DIMBOA). Two identified biodegradation products deriving from MBOA and five biodegradation products deriving from BOA were chosen later. BOA was bought from Fluka (Art.No.: 12825) and the rest of the substances were obtained from F. Macias, Universidad de Cadiz (UCA). The degradation studies as base for choosing metabolites had been performed by Fomsgaard et.al. 2003.

Prior to the use in the biotests, the substances had been dissolved in DMSO. The whole dilution series necessary for generating a dose-response relation had been made in the solvent as well. The concentration in the DMSO was 1000 times higher than the final start concentration in the biotest, so that exactly 1  $\mu$ l DMSO-solution per ml biotest was pipetted and therefore the solvent addition to each biotest vessel had been exactly the same 0,1%.

The marine bacteria *Vibrio fischeri* was obtained together with the ready to use testkit Lumis Tox from Dr. Lange, Germany, Art.No.: LCK 486, which is in accordance to EN-ISO 11348-3.

The freshwater green algae *Selenastrum capricornutum* was obtained from ATCC, USA, Art. No.: 22662. The soil green algae *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* was isolated from a standard soil of the University of Applied Sciences, Vienna, (Fritz, 1999) and characterised at the IFA-Tulln according to Bellinger 1992. Both algae were used in an aquatic growth inhibition biotest according to the OECD guideline No. 201.

The freshwater micro-crustaceae *Daphnia magna* STRAUSS was obtained in 1997 from the Higher School for Chemistry, Vienna, and kept in continuous culture at the IFA-Tulln. The organisms were used in the aquatic immobilisation biotest according to the OECD guideline No. 202.

NOEC-values had been read directly out of the inhibition results and are given as the highest test concentration not showing any effect significantly different from the blind. EC50-values were obtained by non-linear curve fit to the Weibull function and a calculation using the function indices (OECD, 2003).

#### RESULTS

For the purpose of this abstract the results are summarised as  $EC_{50}$ -values. The values have to be seen as preliminary, since not all statistical analysis (especially fugitives) had not been applied and some necessary repetitions have not been done so far.

Table 1. Preliminary  $EC_{50}$  values as calculated from the results of the aquatic biotests for allelochemicals and their degradation products, using the 3-parameter Weibull equation. Symbol key: Da = *Daphnia magna*, Chl = *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*, Sel = *Selenastrum capricornutum*, Vib = *Vibrio fischeri* (LumisTox)

Substance	EC <sub>50</sub> [µmol/l]			
	<b>Da</b> (48h)	Chl (72h)	Sel (72h)	Vib (0,5h)
DIMBOA	>9,5	>47	>47	44
MBOA	>12	>61	>61	1,2
AMPO	>41	8,8	0,22	68
AAMPO	>7	>7	>7	>7
BOA	>15	>74	>74	>15
AP	3,5	>92	3,0	>92
HPAA	>13	>13	>13	>13
HPMA	>10	>51	>51	>51
APO	1,5	1,3	0,73	9,4
AAPO	8,6	27	1,7	11

## DISCUSSION

The ecotoxicity of the three parent substances BOA, MBOA and DIMBOA against four aquatic test organisms was generally very low (the  $EC_{50}$  was not reached, even with the highest tested concentration). BOA and DIMBOA did show uncommon dose-response-relations against green algae which may be based on their comparably rapid biodegradation and a much higher ecotoxicity of the metabolites.

Based on that chronologically first results a set of possible degradation metabolites were selected and tested subsequently. Some of them did show dramatically higher ecotoxicity than the parent allelochemicals. The metabolites with the highest toxicity did have structural similarities, those are first of all the phenoxazinones: APO (3-Aminophenoxazin-2-one), AAPO (3-Acetamidophenoxazin-2-one) and AMPO (2-Amino-8-methoxyphenoxazin-3-one). The toxicity was reduced in the acetylated metabolites (even in the case of the highest toxic APO when transformed into AAPO) which could be denoted as detoxifying process for the primary metabolites.

Currently there is no clear evidence about degradation during the runtime of a ecotoxicity test. Probably there was a partial degradation or other, not yet tested metabolites did occur or the metabolites did undergo further degradation. In that sense the current discussion has to be seen as preliminary, in the upcoming month detailed answers will be searched for.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research described in this abstract was performed as part of the project FATEALLCHEM, 'Fate and Toxicity of Allelochemicals (natural plant toxins) in Relation to Environment and Consumer'. The project was carried out with financial support from the Commission of the European Community under the Work programme Quality of Life, contract no. QLK5-CT-2001-01967.

#### REFERENCES

Bellinger E.G. (1992): A Key to common Algae - Freshwater, Estuarine and some Coastal Species; The Institution of Water and Environmental Management, London, 4. ed.

Crossland N.O., Shires S.W. and Bennet D. (1982): Fate and Biological Effects of Spray Drift Deposits in Fresh Water Adjacent to Agricultural Land; Aquatic Toxicology, 2, p. 253-270.

Fritz J. (1999): Ecotoxicity of biodegradable materials during and after the degradation process. PhD thesis at the University of Applied Sciences and Natural Resources, Vienna.

Fomsgaard I.S., Mortensen A.G., Carlsen S.C.K. (2003, in press): Microbial transformation products of benzoxazolinone and benzoxazolinone allelochemicals – a review. Chemosphere.

Korte F. (1992): Lehrbuch der Ökologischen Chemie; Thieme, Stuttgart.

OECD (1992): Guidelines For The Testing Of Chemicals; Volume 1; Section 2, Effects on Biotic Systems. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.

OECD (2003): Draft Guidance Document for on the Statistical Analysis of Ecotoxicity Data. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.